

1 STANLEY LAW GROUP  
2 MATTHEW J. ZEVIN, SBN: 170736  
3 10021 Willow Creek Road, Suite 200  
4 San Diego, CA 92131  
5 Telephone: (619) 235-5306  
6 Facsimile: (815) 377-8419  
7 e-mail: mzevin@aol.com

8 FRANTZ LAW GROUP, APLC  
9 JAMES P. FRANTZ, SBN 87492  
10 WILLIAM P. HARRIS, III, SBN  
11 123575  
12 GEORGE T. STIEFEL, SBN 297611  
13 402 West Broadway, Suite 860  
14 San Diego, CA 92101  
15 Telephone: (619) 233-5945  
16 Facsimile: (619) 525-7672  
17 E-mail: jpf@frantzlawgroup.com  
18 wharris@frantzlawgroup.com  
19 gstiefel@frantzlawgroup.com

20 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

21 THE EMGE FIRM, LLP  
22 DEREK J. EMGE  
23 501 W. Broadway, Suite 1760  
24 San Diego, CA 92101  
25 Telephone: (619) 595-1400  
26 Facsimile: (619) 595-1480  
27 E-mail: derek@emgelawfirm.com

28 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

29 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

30 WENDY CHOWNING and  
31 LOURDES CASAS, individually and  
32 on behalf of all others similarly  
33 situated,

34 Plaintiffs,

35 v.

36 KOHL'S DEPARTMENT STORES,  
37 INC., a Delaware Corporation;  
38 KOHL'S CORPORATION; and  
39 DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

40 Defendants.

41 CASE NO. 2:15-cv-8673-RGK-SP

42 **CLASS ACTION**

43 **STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL**  
44 **PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE**  
45 **41(a)(1)(A)(ii)**

46 Judge: Hon. R. Gary Klausner

47 Ctrm: 850

1 Plaintiff Wendy Chowning (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Kohl’s Corp. and  
2 Kohl’s Department Stores, Inc. (collectively, “Kohl’s”), being referred to  
3 collectively herein as the “Parties,” by and through their respective counsel,  
4 hereby stipulate as follows:

5 **STIPULATION**

6 WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint (“FAC”) on  
7 January 20, 2016 [Dkt. No. 44], alleging multiple legal claims, including claims  
8 under California’s False Advertising Law (the “FAL”); California’s Unfair  
9 Competition Law (the “UCL”) and California’s Consumers Legal Remedies Act  
10 (the “CLRA”);

11 WHEREAS, on March 15, 2016, the Court granted Defendant’s Motion  
12 for Summary Judgment as to all claims for monetary relief under the FAL and  
13 UCL claims as well as to “any claim for restitution under the CLRA.” [Dkt. No.  
14 112 at 14];

15 WHEREAS, on April 1, 2016, the Court denied Plaintiff’s Motion for  
16 Class Certification [Dkt. No. 123], determining that:

17 1. In light of the Order granting partial summary judgment,  
18 Plaintiff was left with only two claims: (a) injunctive relief; and (b) Non-  
19 restitutionary monetary relief under the CLRA;

20 2. Plaintiff is barred from pursuing injunctive relief based on the  
21 rule against duplicative actions; and

22 3. Plaintiff did not advance any non-restitutionary theory of  
23 damages;

24 WHEREAS, on June 14, 2016, Plaintiff filed a Request for Status  
25 Conference or, in the Alternative, Entry of Judgment (“Request”) [Dkt. No. 132];

26 WHEREAS, on June 30, 2016, Kohl’s filed a Non-Opposition to  
27 Plaintiff’s Request [Dkt. No. 134];  
28

1 WHEREAS, on July 27, 2016, the Court issued an Order construing  
2 Plaintiff's Request as a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and denying such  
3 motion [Dkt. No. 136];

4 WHEREAS, Plaintiff's FAC does not make any claim for punitive or  
5 statutory damages under the CLRA;

6 WHEREAS, the only measures of actual damages that Plaintiff seeks  
7 under the CLRA are: 1) a return of the full amount that she paid for her  
8 purchases; and 2) the difference between the price paid and the value of what she  
9 received, which measures were specifically rejected by the Court in its order  
10 granting partial summary judgment; and

11 WHEREAS, Plaintiff submits that, as the cumulative result of the Court's  
12 orders and the relief she is pursuing in this action, Plaintiff has no further  
13 remedies to pursue.

14 WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES  
15 THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL AS FOLLOWS:

16 Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,  
17 Plaintiff, having no remaining remedies to pursue, dismisses her case with  
18 prejudice.

19 This stipulation is not filed pursuant to a settlement agreement. The  
20 Parties have not entered into any settlement of Plaintiff's claims. The Plaintiff  
21 agrees that this stipulation of dismissal does not prevent Kohl's from seeking  
22 costs pursuant to Rule 54(d) of the Rules of Civil Procedure.

23 Kohl's agrees that it will not challenge any appeal by plaintiff on the  
24 ground that a voluntary dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal  
25 Rules of Civil Procedure bars plaintiff from appealing.

26 IT IS SO STIPULATED.  
27  
28

1 Dated: August 26, 2016

THE EMGE FIRM, LLP

2 /s/

DEREK J. EMGE

3 501 W. Broadway, Suite 1760

4 San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 595-1400

5 Facsimile: (619) 595-1480

E-mail: derek@emgelawfirm.com

6 FRANTZ LAW GROUP, APLC

7 GEORGE T. STIEFEL

8 JAMES P. FRANTZ, SBN 87492

WILLIAM P. HARRIS, III

9 402 West Broadway, Suite 860

10 San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 233-5945

11 Facsimile: (619) 525-7672

E-mail: jpf@frantzlawgroup.com

12 wharris@frantzlawgroup.com

13 gstiefel@frantzlawgroup.com

14 STANLEY LAW GROUP

15 MATTHEW J. ZEVIN, 10021

Willow Creek Road, Suite 200

16 San Diego, CA 92131

Telephone: (619) 235-5306

17 Facsimile: (815) 377-8419

e-mail: mzevin@aol.com

18 Dated: August 26, 2016

ARNOLD & PORTER LLP

19 /s/

20 ALEX BEROUKHIM

21 JAMES F. SPEYER

22 777 South Figueroa St., 44<sup>th</sup> Fl.

23 Los Angeles, CA 90017

24 The undersigned hereby certifies pursuant to Local Rule 5-4.3.4 that all  
25 signatories listed above concur in the content and have authorized this filing.

26 Dated: August 24, 2016

THE EMGE FIRM, LLP

27 /s/

28 DEREK J. EMGE